

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

AF

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : C11D 3/386, 3/20, 3/02, A61L 12/08	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/12663 (43) International Publication Date: 9 March 2000 (09.03.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/12837 (22) International Filing Date: 20 July 1999 (20.07.99) (30) Priority Data: 09/144,545 1 September 1998 (01.09.98) US 09/144,674 1 September 1998 (01.09.98) US (71) Applicant: ALCON LABORATORIES, INC. [US/US]; 6201 South Freeway, Mail Code Q-149, Fort Worth, TX 76134-2099 (US). (72) Inventors: ASGHARIAN, Bahram; 6628 Townlake Circle, Arlington, TX 76016 (US). HONG, Bor-Shyue; 3920 Cross Bend Drive, Arlington, TX 76016 (US). (74) Agents: MAYO, Michael, C. et al.; Alcon Laboratories, Inc., R & D Counsel, Mail Code Q-148, 6201 South Freeway, Fort Worth, TX 76134-2099 (US).		(81) Designated States: AU, BR, CA, JP, KR, MX, NO, NZ, SG, ZA, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: LIQUID ENZYME COMPOSITIONS FOR CLEANING AND DISINFECTING CONTACT LENSES (57) Abstract Stable liquid enzyme compositions and methods of cleaning and cleaning and disinfecting contact lenses are disclosed. Methods for a daily use regimen are also disclosed.		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

LIQUID ENZYME COMPOSITIONS FOR CLEANING AND DISINFECTING CONTACT LENSES

5

10 Background of the Invention

The present invention relates to the field of contact lens cleaning and disinfecting. In particular, this invention relates to liquid enzyme compositions and methods for cleaning human-worn contact lenses with those compositions. The invention also relates to methods
15 of simultaneously cleaning and disinfecting contact lenses by combining the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention with a chemical disinfecting agent.

Various compositions and methods for cleaning contact lenses have been described in the patent and scientific literature. Some of these methods have employed compositions containing surfactants or enzymes to facilitate the cleaning of lenses. The first discussion of
20 the use of proteolytic enzymes to clean contact lenses was in an article by Lo, et al. in the Journal of The American Optometric Association, volume 40, pages 1106-1109 (1969). Methods of removing protein deposits from contact lenses by means of proteolytic enzymes have been described in many publications since the initial article by Lo, et al., including U.S. Patent No. 3,910,296 (Karageozian, et al.).

25 Numerous compositions and methods for disinfecting contact lenses have also been described. Those methods may be generally characterized as involving the use of heat and/or chemical agents. Representative chemical agents for this purpose include organic antimicrobials such as benzalkonium chloride and chlorhexidine, and inorganic antimicrobials such as hydrogen peroxide and peroxide-generating compounds. U.S.

Patents Nos. 4,407,791 and 4,525,346 (Stark) describe the use of polymeric quaternary ammonium compounds to disinfect contact lenses and to preserve contact lens care products. U.S. Patents Nos. 4,758,595 and 4,836,986 (Ogunbiyi) describe the use of polymeric biguanides for the same purpose.

5 Various methods for cleaning and disinfecting contact lenses at the same time have been proposed. Methods involving the combined use of proteolytic enzymes and peroxides to clean and disinfect contact lenses simultaneously, are described in U.S. Patent No. Re 32,672 (Huth, et al.). A representative method of simultaneously cleaning and disinfecting contact lenses involving the use of proteolytic enzymes and quaternary ammonium
10 compounds is described in Japanese Patent Publication 57-24526 (Boghossian, et al.). The combined use of a biguanide (i.e., chlorhexidine) and liquid enzyme compositions to simultaneously clean and disinfect contact lenses is described in Canadian Patent No. 1,150,907 (Ludwig, et al.). Methods involving the combined use of dissolved proteolytic enzymes to clean and heat to disinfect are described in U.S. Patent No. 4,614,549
15 (Ogunbiyi). The combined use of proteolytic enzymes and polymeric biguanides or polymeric quaternary ammonium compounds is described in copending, commonly assigned United States Patent Application Serial No. 08/156,043 and in corresponding European Patent Application Publication No. 0 456 467 A2 (Rosenthal, et al.), as well as in U.S. Patent No. 5,096,607 (Mowrey-McKee, et al.).

20 The commercial viability of most prior enzymatic cleaning products has depended on the use of stable enzyme tablets. More specifically, the use of solid enzymatic cleaning compositions has been necessary to ensure stability of the enzymes prior to use. In order to use such compositions, a separate packet containing a tablet must be opened, the tablet must be placed in a separate vial containing a solution, and the tablet must be dissolved in order

to release the enzyme into the solution. This practice is usually performed only once a week due to the cumbersome and tedious procedure and potential for irritation and toxicity. Moreover, the enzymatic cleaning tablets contain a large amount of excipients, such as effervescent agents (e.g., bicarbonate) and bulking agents (e.g., sodium chloride). As explained below, such excipients can adversely affect both cleaning and disinfection of the contact lenses.

There have been prior attempts to use liquid enzyme compositions to clean contact lenses. However, those attempts have been hampered by the fact that aqueous liquid enzyme compositions are inherently unstable. When a proteolytic enzyme is placed in an aqueous solution for an extended period (i.e., several months or more), the enzyme may lose all or a substantial portion of its proteolytic activity. Steps can be taken to stabilize the compositions, but the use of stabilizing agents may have an adverse effect on the activity of the enzyme. For example, stabilizing agents can protect enzymes from chemical instability problems during storage in an aqueous liquid, by placing the enzymes in a dormant physical conformation. In addition to the general problems referred to above, a commercially viable liquid enzyme preparation for treating contact lenses must be relatively nontoxic, and must be compatible with other chemical agents used in treating contact lenses, particularly antimicrobial agents utilized to disinfect the lenses.

The following patents may be referred to for further background concerning prior attempts to stabilize liquid enzyme formulations: U.S. Patents Nos. 4,462,922 (Boskamp); 4,537,706 (Severson); and 5,089,163 (Aronson). These patents describe detergent compositions containing enzymes. The detergent compositions may be used to treat laundry, as well as other industrial uses. Such detergents are not appropriate for treating

contact lenses. The compositions of the present invention do not contain a detergent, or other agents potentially damaging or irritating to the eye.

U.S. Patent No. 5,281,277 (Nakagawa) and Japanese Kokai Patent Applications Nos. 92-370197; 92-143718; and 92-243215 describe liquid enzyme compositions for treating
5 contact lenses. The compositions of the present invention are believed to provide significant improvements relative to the compositions described in those publications.

Recently, U.S. Patents Nos. 5,576,278, 5,604,190, 5,605,661, 5,672,213 5,718,895 and 5,723,421 were issued to Alcon Laboratories, Inc. Those patents disclose advanced liquid enzyme compositions, particularly suited for contact lens care, which provide
10 improved enzyme stability. The present invention improves on such compositions by providing even greater protease stability.

Brief Description of the Drawings

15 FIG. 1 is a graph comparing the proteolytic stability of a liquid enzyme composition of the present invention (Composition 1 of Example 6) with other compositions. at 40°C through 12 weeks.

FIG 2. is a graph comparing the proteolytic stability of a liquid enzyme composition of the present invention (Composition 4 of Example 7) with other compositions. at 40°C
20 through 12 weeks.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention is directed to liquid enzyme compositions possessing improved enzyme stabilizing efficacy. The liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention contain selected agents which stabilize the enzyme. The stabilizing agents utilized are calcium ion, one or more polyols and a borate/boric acid compound. The amounts of stabilizing agents utilized have been delicately balanced, such that maximum stability is achieved, while maximum activity is later obtained when the composition is put into use. A suitable preservative may also be added to the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention to preserve the liquid enzyme compositions from microbial contamination when the compositions are packaged in multiple use containers.

The present invention also provides methods for cleaning contact lenses with the above-described liquid enzyme compositions. In order to clean a soiled lens, the lens is placed in an aqueous solution and an amount, generally one to two drops, of the enzyme composition is added to the solution. The lens is then soaked in the resultant cleaning solution for a time sufficient to clean the lens.

The liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention are preferably combined with an aqueous disinfecting solution to simultaneously clean and disinfect contact lenses. The compositions and methods of the present invention provide greater ease of use. This ease of use enables contact lens users to clean their lenses 2 to 3 times a week, or more preferably, every day. It has been found that daily use of the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention results in dramatically better cleaning and safety, as compared to the once-a-week enzyme cleaning regimens currently being utilized.

The enzyme compositions of the present invention are formulated as concentrated, multi-dose liquids, which provide a significantly improved enzyme stability profile. This improved stability allows the liquid compositions to have greater shelf life and the option of being commercially transported without refrigeration.

Detailed Description of the Invention

It has been discovered that liquid enzyme compositions containing a particular combination of ingredients provides a significantly improved enzyme stability profile over prior liquid enzyme compositions. The liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention are comprised of an enzyme, stabilizing agents and water. The stabilizing agents required by the present invention are one or more polyols, calcium ions and a borate/boric acid compound.

The polyol component of the compositions will be comprised of one or more monomeric polyols and optionally one or more polymeric polyols.

As used herein, the term "monomeric polyol" refers to a compound with 2 to 6 carbon atoms and at least two hydroxy groups. Examples of monomeric polyols are glycerol, propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, sorbitol and mannitol. Preferably, the monomeric polyols are selected from polyols having 2-3 carbons and at least two hydroxy groups ("2-3 carbon polyol"). Examples of 2-3 carbon polyols are glycerol, 1,2-propane diol ("propylene glycol"), 1,3-propane diol and ethylene glycol. Glycerol and propylene glycol are the most preferred 2-3 carbon polyols.

As used herein, the term "polymeric polyol" refers to a polyalkoxylated glycol with a molecular weight ranging from 200-600. Examples of polymeric polyols are polyethylene

glycol 200 (denoting a molecular weight of 200, "PEG 200") and PEG 400. The PEGs may optionally be monoalkoxylated. Examples of monoalkoxylated PEGs are monomethoxy PEG 200 and ethoxy PEG 400. Though these alkoxylated PEGs are not technically polyols, they are similar in structure to the non-alkoxylated PEGs; therefore, for defining purposes, they are included in the term "polymeric polyol."

The polyol concentration will vary depending on the particular polyol(s) used. In general, liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention will require 40 to 85% weight/volume ("% w/v") of one or more polyols to achieve the necessary criteria for efficacious and commercially viable liquid enzyme compositions, as described above.

Compositions comprising both monomeric and polymeric polyols will generally have a ratio of from 1:5 to 5:1, with a preferred ratio being 2:1 to 1:2, weight:weight. While any of the polyols can be components of the compositions of the present invention, particular polyols may be used depending on the particular intended use. For example, propylene glycol, which has preservative activity, is a preferred monomeric polyol when the need for an additional preservative present in a liquid enzyme composition of the present invention is desired. Preferred polyols of the present invention are glycerol and propylene glycol, and the most preferred combination of polyols used in the compositions of the present invention are glycerol and PEG-400. The most preferred amount of the glycerol/PEG-400 combination in the liquid enzyme compositions is the combination of 25% w/v glycerol with 50% w/v PEG-400.

The liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention will also contain an effective amount of calcium ion. The calcium ion contained in the compositions of the present invention may be obtained by the addition of various calcium salts. For example, the calcium ion source may be obtained from calcium chloride, calcium acetate and calcium

ascorbate or other water soluble salts of calcium. The most preferred calcium ion source is calcium chloride. As used herein, "effective amount of calcium ion" refers to that amount of calcium ion which enhances the proteolytic stability of an enzyme in the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention. While that amount will vary depending upon the various components present, typical calcium ion concentrations will be about 1 to 90 millimolar. Preferred concentrations will be about 4.5 to 45 millimolar, and most preferred concentrations will be of from 10 to 25 millimolar.

The compositions of the present invention will also contain an effective amount of a borate/boric acid compound. As used herein, "borate/boric acid compound" refers to an inorganic compound comprising boron and one or more oxygen groups, and which is either in acid or base form when dissolved in a composition of the present invention. Sources of borate/boric acid compounds include alkali metal salts of borate, boric acid and borax. As used herein, "effective amount of a borate/boric acid compound" refers to that amount of a borate/boric acid compound contained in a liquid enzyme composition of the present invention which enhances the proteolytic stability of the enzyme. While such an amount will vary depending on other components present in the concentrate, the amount will be about 0.3 to 8.0% (w/v). Preferred amounts will be of from 0.5 to 1.5% (w/v). The borate/boric acid compound may also contribute to the anti-microbial preservation of the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention to a level effective for multi-use dispensing. The solubility of the borate/boric acid compound may be limited in water. The solubility of these compounds, however, may be increased by increasing the amount of polyol employed.

A variety of preservatives may be employed to preserve liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention intended for multi-dispensing. In general, any of the disinfecting

agents listed below for use in the disinfecting solutions of the methods of the present invention, with the exception of oxidative disinfecting agents, may be used. Particularly preferred, are the polymeric quaternary ammonium compounds, the most preferred is polyquaternium-1. The amount of preservative used will depend on several factors including the anti-microbial efficacy of the particular agent and any synergistic interaction the agent may have with the liquid enzyme composition. In general, 0.0001 to 0.1% w/v of the preservative agent will be used.

The compositions of the present invention may optionally contain a reversible enzyme inhibitor. The inhibitor will be added in an amount necessary to inactivate the enzyme, but where reactivation is easily achieved by dilution of the inhibited enzyme/stabilizing agent complex in an aqueous medium. When the enzyme is in an inactive form, it is prevented from self-degradation and other spontaneous, chemically irreversible events. Examples of reversible inhibitors are aromatic acids and lower alkyl carboxylic acids such as propanoic and butyric acids. As used herein, the term "lower carboxylic acid" refers to a compound having a carboxylic acid group and from 2-4 carbon atoms in total. Preferred inhibitors include aromatic acid derivatives, such as benzoic acid. The preferred range of an aromatic acid derivative used in the present invention is 0.01 to 5.0% w/v.

Still other ingredients may optionally be added to the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention. Such ingredients include buffering agents, such as, Tris or phosphate buffers; tonicity adjusting agents, such as NaCl or KCl, and pH adjusting agents such as sodium hydroxide, Tris, triethanolamine and hydrochloric acid.

The compositions may contain one or more surfactants selected from anionic, non-ionic or amphoteric classes. Examples of non-ionic surfactants include alkyl

polyoxyethylene alcohols, alkyl phenyl polyoxyethylene alcohols, polyoxyethylene fatty acid esters, polyethylene oxide-polypropylene oxide copolymers such as polaxomers and polaxamines. Examples of anionic surfactants include alkyl sarcosinates and alkyl glutamates. Examples of amphoteric surfactants include alkyliminopropionates and alkylamphoacetates. In general, 0 to 5% w/v of the surfactant will be included in the compositions of the present invention.

The enzymes which may be utilized in the compositions and methods of the present invention include all enzymes which: (1) are useful in removing deposits from contact lenses; (2) cause, at most, only minor ocular irritation in the event a small amount of enzyme contacts the eye as a result of inadequate rinsing of a contact lens; (3) are relatively chemically stable and effective in the presence of the antimicrobial agents described below; and (4) do not adversely affect the physical or chemical properties of the lens being treated. The proteolytic enzymes used herein must have at least a partial capability to hydrolyze peptide-amide bonds in order to reduce the proteinaceous material found in lens deposits to smaller water-soluble subunits. Typically, such enzymes will exhibit some lipolytic, amylolytic or related activities associated with the proteolytic activity and may be neutral, acidic or alkaline. In addition, separate lipases or carbohydrases may be used in combination with the proteolytic enzymes. For purposes of the present specification, enzymes which satisfy the foregoing requirements are referred to as being "ophthalmically acceptable."

Examples of ophthalmically acceptable proteolytic enzymes which may be utilized in the present invention include but are not limited to pancreatin, trypsin, subtilisin, collagenase, keratinase, carboxypeptidase, papain, bromelain, aminopeptidase, elastase, Aspergillo peptidase, pronase E (from S. griseus), dispase (from Bacillus polymyxa) and

mixtures thereof. If papain is used, a reducing agent, such as N-acetylcysteine, may be required.

Microbially derived enzymes, such as those derived from Bacillus, Streptomyces, and Aspergillus microorganisms, represent a preferred type of enzyme which may be utilized in the present invention. Of this sub-group of enzymes, the most preferred are the Bacillus derived alkaline proteases generically called "subtilisin" enzymes.

The identification, separation and purification of enzymes is known in the art. Many identification and isolation techniques exist in the general scientific literature for the isolation of enzymes, including those enzymes having proteolytic and mixed proteolytic/lipolytic/amylolytic activity. The enzymes contemplated by this invention can be readily obtained by known techniques from plant, animal or microbial sources.

With the advent of recombinant DNA techniques, it is anticipated that new sources and types of stable proteolytic enzymes will become available. Such enzymes should be considered to fall within the scope of this invention so long as they meet the criteria set forth herein.

Pancreatin, subtilisin and trypsin are preferred enzymes for use in the present invention. Pancreatin is extracted from mammalian pancreas, and is commercially available from various sources, including Scientific Protein Laboratories (Waunakee, Wisconsin, U.S.A.), Novo Industries (Bagsvaerd, Denmark), Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Missouri, U.S.A.), and Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, Indiana, U.S.A.). Pancreatin USP is a mixture of proteases, lipases and amylases, and is defined by the United States Pharmacopeia ("USP"). The most preferred form of pancreatin is Pancreatin 9X. As utilized herein, the term "Pancreatin 9X" means a filtered (0.2 microns) pancreatin containing nine times the USP protease unit content. Subtilisin is derived from Bacillus

bacteria and is commercially available from various commercial sources including Novo Industries (Bagsvaerd, Denmark), Fluka Biochemika (Buchs, Switzerland) and Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, Indiana, U.S.A.).

Trypsin is a 23,800 dalton protease with 6 disulfide bridges. Trypsin can be synthesized or obtained from various sources, such as porcine, bovine or swine pancreatin. Trypsin is also available from commercial sources such as Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO), Biofac Co. (United Kingdom) and Novo Nordisk (Denmark). Trypsin may vary from species to species, but in general will be highly homologous with porcine or human trypsin.


The most preferred enzymes of the present invention are the alkyl trypsins. It has been discovered that alkyl trypsins ("Al-trypsin(s)") are more stable in the liquid compositions than the native trypsin, or other native enzymes.

As used herein, "Al-trypsin" refers to a covalently modified trypsin wherein one or more of its lysine epsilon-amino groups has been mono-alkylated or di-alkylated to form the corresponding monoalkylamino or dialkylamino group. The alkyl group attached to the amine may be a primary or branched C₁₋₁₂ group. Preferred Al-trypsins of the present invention are those wherein the alkyl group is a primary or branched C₁₋₄ group. Alkylation of trypsin is generally performed by reductive alkylation. The degree of alkylation of the lysine epsilon-amino groups will depend on the reaction conditions of the reductive alkylation process. For example, if the reaction cycle is repeated a number of times and/or a higher reagent to enzyme ratio is used, then full alkylation, i.e., alkylation of all of the lysine epsilon-amino groups, will tend to be achieved. Al-trypsins of the present invention will preferably be fully dialkylated at all of their lysine epsilon-amino groups. The most preferred Al-trypsin is methyl trypsin ("Me-trypsin"). The most preferred Me-trypsin of the

present invention will be derived from porcine tissue sources and will be fully dimethylated, as described above.

Al-trypsin may be synthesized by the process of reductive alkylation of trypsin, as generally described in Scheme 1, below.

Scheme 1:



wherein, R is branched or unbranched C₁₋₁₂ alkyl.

As illustrated in scheme 1, the epsilon amino group of the lysine residues of trypsin is reacted with aldehydic alkylating reagent (1) to afford the alkylimino product (2). The alkylimino product (2) reduces to the resonant alkylamino species (3,4). The product (3,4) may react with another mole of the alkylating reagent (1) to yield the dialkylamino trypsin (5). As illustrated above, the resultant alkylated trypsin may either be mono or dialkylated at the lysine epsilon-amino groups.

Example 1

Me-trypsin may be prepared by the following synthesis:

The following solutions are first prepared:

1. Borate buffer: 0.2 M sodium borate buffer, pH 9.2 containing 2 mg/ml benzamidine hydrochloride and a trace amount of n-octanol.
2. Trypsin: 1 g in 150 ml borate buffer.

To the 150 ml solution of trypsin, 10 ml of 1 M sodium borohydride is added followed quickly by 10 ml of 2.4 M formaldehyde. Three more volumes of sodium borohydride and formaldehyde are added at 10 minute intervals. The reaction solution is then acidified with glacial acetic acid to approximately pH 4.2 and then dialyzed extensively against 2 mM HCl at 4°C (8 changes of 2 L each within 24 hours). The dialyzed solution is finally lyophilized for over 20 hours.

The above reactions are further described in Rice, RH. Means, GE and Brown, WD. *Stabilization of bovine trypsin by reductive methylation*, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, volume 492, pages 316-321 (1977); and Means, GE and Feeney, RE. *Reductive alkylation of amino groups in proteins*, Biochemistry, volume 7, pages 2192-2210 (1968). Me-trypsin is also available from commercial sources such as Sigma Chemical Co. and Promega Corp. (Madison, WI).

Other Al-trypsins may be prepared by methods analogous to Example 1, wherein formaldehyde is replaced by other alkylating reagents. For example, ethyl trypsin ("Et-trypsin") may be synthesized by an analogous method described in Example 1 and Scheme 1 above, wherein acetaldehyde is used as the alkylating reagent in place of formaldehyde.

The liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention will have an enzyme concentration sufficient to provide an effective amount of enzyme to remove substantially or to reduce significantly deposits of proteins, lipids, mucopolysaccharides and other materials typically found on human-worn contact lenses when a small amount of a

composition is added to a diluent. As used herein, such a concentration is referred to as "an amount effective to clean the lens." The amount of enzyme used in the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention will generally range from about 0.05 to 5% w/v. The selection of a specific concentration will depend on various factors, such as: the enzyme or combination of enzymes selected; the purity, specificity and efficacy of the enzyme(s) selected; the type of lenses to be cleaned; the intended frequency of cleaning (e.g., daily or weekly); and the intended duration of each cleaning.

During storage, some of the activity of the enzyme may be lost, depending on length of storage and temperature conditions. Thus, the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention may be prepared with initial amounts of enzyme that exceed the concentration ranges described herein. The preferred compositions of the present invention will generally contain one or more enzymes in an amount of about 300-6000 PAU/mL. The compositions will most preferably contain about 900-3000 PAU/mL, which corresponds to pancreatin in the range of about 1 to 3% w/v; subtilisin in a range of about 0.1 to 0.5% w/v; trypsin in the range of about 0.1 to 0.5% w/v; and Me-trypsin in the range of about 0.1 to 0.5% w/v. For purposes of this specification, a "proteolytic activity unit" or "PAU" is defined as the amount of enzyme activity necessary to generate one microgram (mcg) of tyrosine per minute ("mcg Tyr/min"), as determined by the casein-digestion, colorimetric assay described below.

Casein-digestion assay

A 5.0 mL portion of casein substrate (0.65% casein w/v) is equilibrated for 10 minutes (min) \pm 5 seconds (sec) at 37°C. A 1.0 mL portion of enzyme solution (0.2 mg/ml) is then added to the casein substrate and the mixture vortexed, then incubated for 10 min \pm 5

sec at 37°C. After incubation, 5.0 mL of 14% trichloroacetic acid is added and the resultant mixture immediately vortexed. The mixture is incubated for at least another 30 min, then vortexed and centrifuged for 15-20 min (approx. 2000 rpm). The supernatant of the centrifuged sample is filtered into a serum filter sampler and a 2.0 mL aliquot removed. To
5 the 2.0 mL sample is added 5.0 mL of 5.3% Na₂CO₃. The sample is vortexed, 1.0 mL of 0.67 N Folin's Phenol reagent is added, and the sample is immediately vortexed again, then incubated for 60 min at 37°C. The sample is then read on a visible light spectrophotometer at 660 nanometers (nm) versus purified water as the reference. The sample concentration is then determined by comparison to a tyrosine standard curve.

10 The cleaning obtained with the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention is a function of the time. The soaking times utilized will generally vary from about 1 hour to overnight. However, if longer soaking periods (e.g., 24 hours) were to be employed, lower concentrations than those described above can be utilized.

15 The cleaning methods of the present invention involve the use of a small amount of the above-described liquid enzyme compositions to facilitate the removal of proteins and other deposits from contact lenses. The amount of enzyme composition utilized in particular embodiments of the present invention may vary, depending on various factors, such as the purity of the enzyme utilized, the proposed duration of exposure of lenses to the
20 compositions, the nature of the lens care regimen (e.g., the frequency of lens disinfection and cleaning), the type of lens being treated, and the use of adjunctive cleaning agents (e.g., surfactants). However, the cleaning methods of the present invention will generally employ an amount of the above-described liquid enzyme compositions sufficient to provide a final enzyme concentration of about 1-100 PAU/mL. following dispersion of the liquid enzyme

compositions in a disinfecting solution or other aqueous solvent. A final concentration of about 5-25 PAU/mL is preferred.

As indicated above, the liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention contain relatively minor amounts of ionic solutes. More specifically, the compositions do not contain bulking agents, effervescent agents or other ionic solutes commonly contained in prior enzyme tablets. The present compositions do contain the ionic solutes of borate or boric acid compounds and hydrochloric acid and/or sodium hydroxide, but the concentration of these solutes in the present compositions is relatively low. The compositions are therefore substantially nonionic. Moreover, as a result of the fact that the compositions are formulated as concentrated, multi-dose liquids, only a small amount of the compositions, generally one or two drops, is required to clean a contact lens. The present compositions therefore have very little impact on the ionic strength of disinfecting solutions. As explained below, this feature of the present invention is particularly important when the liquid enzyme compositions are combined with disinfecting solutions which contain ionic antimicrobial agents, such as polyquaternium-1.

The antimicrobial activity of disinfecting agents, particularly polymeric quaternary ammonium compounds such as polyquaternium-1, is adversely affected by high concentrations of sodium chloride or other ionic solutes. More specifically, polymeric quaternary ammonium compounds, and particularly those of Formula (I), below, lose antimicrobial activity when the concentration of ionic solutes in the disinfecting solution is increased. The use of solutions having low ionic strengths (i.e., low concentrations of ionic solutes such as sodium chloride) is therefore preferred. Since both ionic solutes (e.g., sodium chloride) and nonionic solutes (e.g., glycerol) affect the osmolality and tonicity of a solution, osmolality and tonicity are indirect measures of ionic strength. However, the low

ionic strengths preferably utilized in the cleaning and disinfecting methods of the present invention generally correspond to tonicities/osmolalities in the range of hypotonic to isotonic, and more preferably in the range of 150 to 350 milliOsmoles per kilogram (mOs/kg). A range of 200 to 300 mOs/kg is particularly preferred, and an osmolality of about 220 mOs/kg is most preferred.

The liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention demonstrate effective cleaning efficacy while exhibiting minimal adverse effects or, more preferably, enhanced effects on the antimicrobial activity of disinfecting solutions.


The cleaning methods of the present invention utilize an aqueous solvent. The aqueous solvent may contain various salts such as sodium chloride and potassium chloride, buffering agents such as boric acid and sodium borate, and other agents such as chelating agents and preservatives. An example of a suitable aqueous solvent is a saline solution, such as Unisol® Plus Solution (registered trademark of Alcon Laboratories).

The cleaning and disinfecting methods of the present invention employ a disinfecting solution as the aqueous diluent for the dilution of a concentrated liquid enzyme composition of the present invention. The disinfecting solution will contain at least one anti-microbial agent, as discussed below. In general, the disinfecting solution may also contain sodium chloride and other excipients which together provide an ophthalmically compatible solution. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, the disinfecting solutions utilized in the present invention may contain various other components such as suitable buffering agents, chelating and/or sequestering agents and tonicity adjusting agents. The disinfecting compositions may also contain surfactants. In general, the disinfecting compositions will contain one or more anti-microbial agents (e.g., PHMB or polyquaternium-1), a buffer (e.g., borate), citrates, tonicity agents (e.g., NaCl, sugars), a

chelating agent (e.g., EDTA), and surfactants (e.g., block copolymers). Other agents which enhance the anti-microbial efficacy of the compositions, such as amino alcohols and alkylamines, may also be added. Preferred disinfecting compositions comprise polyquaternium-1, sodium borate, boric acid, propylene glycol and Pluronic P-103. The most disinfecting compositions comprise boric acid, sorbitol, 95% 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol ("AMP-95"), sodium citrate, sodium chloride, disodium edetate, polyquaternium-1, poloxamer 1304 ("Tetronic 1304") and myristamidopropyl diamethyl amine ("MAPDA").

As stated above, the cleaning and disinfecting methods of the present invention utilize a disinfecting solution containing an antimicrobial agent. Antimicrobial agents can be oxidative, such as hydrogen peroxide, or non-oxidative polymeric antimicrobial agents which derive their antimicrobial activity through a chemical or physicochemical interaction with the organisms. As used in the present specification, the term "polymeric antimicrobial agent" refers to any nitrogen-containing polymer or co-polymer which has antimicrobial activity. Preferred polymeric antimicrobial agents include: polyquaternium-1, which is a polymeric quaternary ammonium compound; and polyhexamethylene biguanide ("PHMB") or polyaminopropyl biguanide ("PAPB"), which is a polymeric biguanide. These preferred antimicrobial agents are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,407,791 and 4,525,346, issued to Stark, and 4,758,595 and 4,836,986, issued to Ogunbiyi, respectively. The entire contents of the foregoing publications are hereby incorporated in the present specification by reference. Other antimicrobial agents suitable in the methods of the present invention include: other quaternary ammonium compounds, such as benzalkonium halides, and other biguanides, such as chlorhexidine. The antimicrobial agents used herein are preferably employed in the absence of mercury-containing compounds such as thimerosal.

The most preferred antimicrobial agents are polymeric quaternary ammonium compounds of the structure:



5 wherein:

R_1 and R_2 can be the same or different and are selected from:

$$\text{N}^+(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_3\text{X}^-$$
$$\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2 \text{ or } \text{OH};$$

X⁻ is a pharmaceutically acceptable anion, preferably chloride; and

$n =$ integer from 1 to 50.

The most preferred compounds of this structure is polyquaternium-1, which is also known as Onamer MTM (registered trademark of Onyx Chemical Corporation) or as Polyquad[®] (registered trademark of Alcon Laboratories, Inc.). Polyquaternium-1 is a mixture of the above referenced compounds, wherein X⁻ is chloride and R₁, R₂ and n are as defined above.

The above-described antimicrobial agents are utilized in the methods of the present invention in an amount effective to eliminate substantially or to reduce significantly the number of viable microorganisms found on contact lenses, in accordance with the requirements of governmental regulatory agencies, such as the United States Food and Drug Administration. For purposes of the present specification, that amount is referred to as being "an amount effective to disinfect" or "an antimicrobially effective amount." The amount of antimicrobial agent employed will vary, depending on factors such as the type of lens care regimen in which the method is being utilized. For example, the use of an efficacious daily cleaner in the lens care regimen may substantially reduce the amount of

material deposited on the lenses, including microorganisms, and thereby lessen the amount of antimicrobial agent required to disinfect the lenses. The type of lens being treated (e.g., "hard" versus "soft" lenses) may also be a factor. In general, a concentration in the range of about 0.000001% to about 0.01% by weight of one or more of the above-described antimicrobial agents will be employed. The most preferred concentration of the polymeric quaternary ammonium compounds of Formula (I) is about 0.001% by weight.

Oxidative disinfecting agents may also be employed in the methods of the present invention. Such oxidative disinfecting agents include various peroxides which yield active oxygen in solution. Preferred methods will employ hydrogen peroxide in the range of 0.3 to 3.0 % to disinfect the lens. Methods utilizing an oxidative disinfecting system are described in U. S. Patent No. Re 32,672 (Huth, et al.), the entire contents of which are hereby incorporated in the present specification by reference.

The methods of the present invention will typically involve adding a small amount of a liquid enzyme composition of the present invention to about 2 to 10 mL of an aqueous solvent or disinfecting solution, placing the soiled lens into the enzyme/solvent or enzyme/disinfectant solution, and soaking the lens for a period of time effective to clean or clean and disinfect the lens. The amount of liquid enzyme composition utilized can vary based on factors such as the amount of aqueous solvent or disinfecting solution used, but generally it is about 1 to 2 drops. Preferred methods involve adding 1 drop (approximately 30 μ L) to 5 mL of aqueous solvent or disinfecting solution. The soiled lens can be placed in the aqueous solvent or disinfecting solution either before or after the addition of the liquid enzyme composition. Optionally, the contact lenses are first rubbed with a non-enzymatic daily surfactant cleaner prior to immersion in the enzyme/solvent or enzyme/disinfectant solution. The lens will typically be soaked overnight, but shorter or longer durations are

contemplated by the methods of the present invention. A soaking time of 4 to 8 hours is preferred. The methods of the present invention allow the above-described regimen to be performed once per week, but more preferably, every day.

The following examples are presented to illustrate further, various aspects of the present invention, but are not intended to limit the scope of the invention in any respect.

Example 2

The most preferred liquid enzyme composition of the present invention, and a preferred disinfecting solution for use in combination with that composition, are described below:

A. Liquid Enzyme Composition

Ingredient	Amount % w/v
Methyl Trypsin	3000 Units/mL
Sodium Borate	1.5
Calcium chloride	0.25
Propylene Glycol	50
Purified water	QS
NaOH/HCl	QS to pH 6 to 8

The above formulation was prepared by first adding propylene glycol to 40% of the batch of purified water while mixing. To this mixture, sodium borate, calcium chloride was added and allowed to dissolve. The pH was then adjusted to the desired pH range with sodium hydroxide. The enzyme was then added and the volume adjusted to 100% with purified water. The optimal pH of the above formulation is 7.0.

B. Disinfecting Solution

The following formulation represents a preferred disinfecting composition useful in the methods of the present invention:

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Polyquaternium-1	0.001
Boric acid	0.6
Sodium chloride	0.1
AMP-95	0.45
MAPDA	0.0005
Sorbitol	1.2
Sodium citrate	0.65
Tetronic 1304	0.05
Disodium Edetate	0.05
NaOH/HCl	To adjust pH 6.5 to 8.0
Purified water	QS

The ingredients are dissolved with 90% of the volume of purified water, the pH is adjusted, and the volume is then brought up to 100% volume. The composition is then sterile filtered using a 0.2 μ m membrane filter.

Various volumes of the above enzyme and aqueous compositions may be employed together in order to prepare a cleaning and disinfecting composition. Preferred methods involve adding 1 drop of Example 2A to about 5mL of Example 2B.

Example 3

The following are examples of preferred liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention, containing a monomeric polyol:

5 A. Liquid Methyl Trypsin or Trypsin Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Trypsin or Methyl Trypsin	3000 Units/mL
Sodium Borate	1.5
Calcium chloride	0.25
Propylene Glycol	75
Purified water	QS
Sodium hydroxide/HCl	QS to pH 7.0

10 B. Liquid Trypsin Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Trypsin	3000 Units/mL
Sodium Borate	1.5
Calcium chloride	0.25
Glycerol	50
Polyquaternium-1	0.003
Purified water	QS
Sodium hydroxide/HCl	QS to pH 6.5 to 8

The above compositions were prepared by methods analogous to the method described in Example 2A.

Example 4

The following are examples of preferred liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention, containing monomeric and polymeric polyols:

5 A. Liquid Methyl Trypsin or Trypsin Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Trypsin or Methyl Trypsin	3000 Units/ mL
Sodium Borate	1.5
Calcium chloride	0.25
Glycerol	25
PEG 400	25
Polyquaternium-1	0.003
Purified water	QS
NaOH/HCl	QS to pH 6 to 8

B. Liquid Methyl Trypsin Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Methyl Trypsin	3000 Units/mL
Sodium Borate	1.5
Calcium chloride	0.25
Glycerol	25
PEG 400	50
Polyquaternium-1	0.003
Purified water	QS
NaOH/HCl	QS to pH 6 to 8

C. Liquid Trypsin Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Trypsin	3000 Units/mL
Sodium Borate	1.5
Calcium chloride	0.25
Propylene Glycol	25
PEG 400	50
Polyquaternium-1	0.003
Purified water	QS
NaOH/HCl	QS to pH 6 to 8

The above compositions may be prepared by method analogous to the method to described in Example 2A.

Example 5

The following are examples of disinfecting compositions useful in the methods of the present invention:

A. Disinfecting Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Polyquaternium-1	0.001 + 10% excess
Sodium chloride	0.48
Disodium Edetate	0.05
Citric acid monohydrate	0.021
Sodium citrate dihydrate	0.56
NaOH/HCl	QS to pH 6-8
Purified water	QS

To prepare the above formulation, sodium citrate dihydrate, citric acid monohydrate, disodium edetate, sodium chloride and polyquaternium-1, in the relative concentrations indicated above, were mixed with purified water and the components allowed to dissolve by stirring with a mixer. Purified water was added to bring the solution to almost 100%. The pH was recorded at 6.3 and adjusted to 7.0 with NaOH. Purified water was added to bring the solution to 100%. The solution was stirred and a pH reading of 7.0 was taken. The solution was then filtered into sterile bottles and capped.

B. Disinfecting Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Polvquaternium-1	0.0002
Sodium borate	0.25
Propylene glycol	1.0
Pluronic P-103	0.1
NaOH/HCl	To adjust pH to 6.5 to 8.0
Purified water	QS

C. Disinfecting Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
PHMB	0.0001
Sodium phosphate	0.28
Potassium phosphate	0.06
Sodium chloride	0.7
Disodium edetate	0.05
NaOH/HCl	To adjust to pH 6.5 to 8.0
Purified water	QS

D. Disinfecting Composition

Ingredient	Amount % (w/v)
Polyquaternium-1	0.001 + 10% excess
Sodium chloride	0.48
Boric Acid	0.225
Sodium Borate	0.08
Mannitol	0.64
Pationic 138C	0.005
Tetronic 1304	0.25
Disodium Edetate	0.05
Citric acid monohydrate	0.016
Sodium citrate dihydrate	0.46
NaOH/HCl	QS to pH 6.5-8
Purified water	QS

5 The Example 5B-D disinfecting compositions are prepared in a similar way as the method disclosed in Example 5A.

 The following Examples (6-9) illustrate the enzyme stabilizing efficacy of compositions of the present invention. Enzyme activity was ascertained by the following
10 azocasein method:

Azocasein Method:

 The following solutions are used in this assay:

- 1) Buffer solution: 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer containing 0.9% sodium
15 chloride, pH 7.6.

2) Substrate solution: 2 mg/ml azocasein in the buffer solution mentioned above.

The assay is initiated by mixing 1 ml of an appropriately diluted (such that the enzyme activity is in the range of standard curve) enzyme composition in phosphate buffer with 2 ml of azocasein substrate solution (2 mg/ml). After incubation at 37°C for 20 minutes, the mixture is removed from the incubator and 1 ml of trichloroacetic acid (14% w/v) is added to stop the enzyme reaction. The mixture is vortexed well and allowed to stand at room temperature for 20 minutes. After centrifuging at 2500 rpm (with a Beckman GS-6R Centrifuge) for 15 minutes, the supernatant is filtered with a serum sampler. 2 ml of the clear yellow filtrate is then adjusted to a neutral pH with 2.0 ml of 0.5 N sodium hydroxide and the absorbance of 440 nm wavelength light is measured with a spectrophotometer. The amount of azocasein hydrolyzed is calculated based on a standard curve of known concentrations of azocasein solution developed under identical conditions. An enzyme activity unit ("AZ U") is defined as that amount of enzyme which hydrolyzes 1 µg of azocasein substrate/minute at 37°C.

Example 6

A comparison of the enzyme stabilizing efficacy of a preferred composition of the present invention (Composition 1) with other enzyme compositions (Compositions 2-3) was performed. The various compositions were incubated at storage temperatures of 40°, 45°, 50° and 55°C. At the appointed time, aliquots were tested for enzyme activity by the azocasein method described above. Activity levels were compared with initial levels and expressed as percent remaining activity. The results are presented in Table 1, below:

Table 1: Comparison of the Stability of a Present Invention Composition with Other Compositions

Composition		1	2	3
Methyl Trypsin		3000 U/mL		
Trypsin			2210 U/mL	---
Pancreatin		---	---	2210 U/mL
Sodium Borate (%w/v)		1.5	7.62	7.62
Calcium Chloride •2 H ₂ O (%w/v)		0.25	---	---
Propylene Glycol (%w/v)		50	50	50
Purified Water		QS	QS	QS
Sodium hydroxide		QS pH 7.02	QS pH 6.0	QS pH 6.0
Temperature		% Activity Remaining		
Time				
55° C	1 week	82.1	6.4	1.9
	2 weeks	75.3	---	8.1
	4 weeks	46.5	---	---
50° C	1 week	91.8	51.1	27.0
	2 weeks	91.8	35.0	19.2
	4 weeks	82.4	14.9	20.8
	6 weeks	81.3	---	---
	8 weeks	75.2	---	---
	12 weeks	71.5	---	---
45° C	1 week	100	77.9	39.5
	2 weeks	100	58.6	41.9
	4 weeks	92.7	50.4	41.6
	6 weeks	97.8	---	---
	8 weeks	100	---	---
	12 weeks	97.6	---	---
40° C	1 week	100	100	66.0
	2 weeks	100	94.0	79.9
	4 weeks	93.7	89.3	78.2
	6 weeks	100	76.3	68.9
	8 weeks	100	85.0	70.4
	12 weeks	100	76.5	62.5
RT	1 week	100	99.5	84.3
	2 weeks	100	100	83.2
	4 weeks	94.1	100	100
	6 weeks	100	90.6	92.5
	8 weeks	100	97.6	90.6
	12 weeks	100	94.2	96.7

Fig. 1 illustrates the proteolytic stability of compositions 1-3, at 40°C. As shown above and in Fig. 1, the present invention composition (Composition 1) demonstrated superior protease stabilizing efficacy over other compositions.

5

Example 7

A comparison of the enzyme stabilizing efficacy of a composition of the present invention (Composition 4) with other enzyme compositions (Compositions 2-3) was performed. The various compositions were incubated at storage temperatures of 40°, 45° and 50°C. At the appointed time, aliquots were tested for enzyme activity by the azocasein
10 method described above. Activity levels were compared with initial levels and expressed as percent remaining activity. The results are presented in Table 2, below:

Table 2: Comparison of the Stability of a Present Invention Composition with Other Compositions

Composition		4	2	3
Trypsin		3000 U/mL	2210 U/mL	---
Pancreatin		---	---	2210 U/mL
Sodium Borate (%w/v)		1.5	7.62	7.62
Calcium Chloride • 2 H ₂ O (%w/v)		0.25	---	---
Glycerol (% w/v)		25	---	---
PEG 400 (%w/v)		50	---	---
Propylene Glycol (% w/v)		---	50	50
Polyquad® (%w/v)		0.003	---	---
Purified Water		QS	QS	QS
Sodium hydroxide		QS pH 6.5	QS pH 6.0	QS pH 6.0
Temperature		% Activity Remaining		
50° C	1 week	98.7	65.4	39.8
	2 weeks	92.9	57.1	34.7
	4 weeks	91.4	61.9	26.7
	8 weeks	91.9	---	---
	13 weeks	87.8	---	---
45° C	1 week	100	67.2	41.2
	2 weeks	97.1	63.0	38.1
	4 weeks	97.1	42.0	30.5
	8 weeks	95.3	---	---
	12 weeks	91.9	---	---
40° C	1 week	100	85.8	60.9
	2 weeks	98.1	83.8	56.4
	4 weeks	100	75.0	48.7
	8 weeks	98.2	49.8	---
	12 weeks	95.4	---	---

Fig. 2 illustrates the proteolytic stability of Compositions 4 and 2-3, at 40°C. As shown above and in Fig. 2, the present invention composition (Composition 4) demonstrated superior protease stabilizing efficacy over other compositions.

5

Example 8

The following example illustrates the stabilizing efficacy of preferred liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention (Compositions 7-9) in comparison with other compositions (Compositions 5-6). The various compositions were incubated at storage
10 temperatures of 40°, 45° and 50°C. At the appointed time, aliquots were tested for enzyme activity by the azocasein method described above. Activity levels were compared with initial levels and expressed as percent remaining activity. The results are presented in Table 3, below:

Table 3: Comparison of the Stability of a Present Invention Compositions with Other Compositions

Composition	5	6	7	8	9	
Methyl Trypsin	3600 U/mL	3600 U/mL	3600 U/mL	3600 U/mL	3600 U/mL	
Sodium Borate (%w/v)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Calcium Chloride •2 H ₂ O (%w/v)	- 0 -	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Propylene Glycol (%w/v)	50	50	50	50	50	
pH	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	
Temp.	Time	% Activity Remaining				
50°C	2 weeks	1.2	1.6	79.2	90.9	25.5
45°C	2 weeks	2.3	12.3	77.3	92.9	74.0
	4 weeks			60.1	74.9	33.1
	8 weeks					
	12 weeks					
40°C	2 weeks	39.6	46.2	98.8	101.1	90.7
	4 weeks	25.5	44.0	86.8	99.0	73.0
	8 weeks			99.7	98.4	
	12 weeks			84.9	97.9	64.9

Example 9

The following example illustrates the stabilizing efficacy of liquid enzyme compositions of the present invention (Compositions 11-15) versus a composition outside the present invention (Composition 10). The various compositions were incubated at storage temperatures of 40°, 45° and 50°C. At the appointed time, aliquots were tested for enzyme activity by the azocasein method. Activity levels were compared with initial levels and expressed as percent remaining activity. The results are presented in Table 4, below:

Table 4: Comparison of the Stability of Present Invention Compositions with Other Composition

Ingredients	10	11	12	13	14	15	
Methyl Trypsin	3000 U/mL	3000 U/mL	3600 U/mL	3600 U/mL	3600 U/mL	3600 U/mL	
Sodium Borate (%w/v)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Calcium Chloride •2 H ₂ O (%w/v)	- 0 -	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.5	0.25	
Glycerol (%w/v)	35	35	35	35	35	25	
PEG 400 (%w/v)	40	40	40	40	40	25	
pH	6.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	
Temp	Time	% Activity Remaining					
50°C	2 weeks	66.7	86.5	91.0	91.3	95.9	95.5
45°C	2 weeks	78.0	80.7	89.7	92.0	97.3	98.1
	4 weeks	37.11	55.0	72.0	82.1	74.9	86.0
	8 weeks			72.3	88.1	85.3	98.0
	12 weeks				59.6	75.5	78.4
40°C	2 weeks	84.7	97.4	101.4	98.0	96.8	96.2
	4 weeks	70.7	94.7	85.9	98.8	84.5	90.0
	8 weeks		59.8	90.2	98.8	87.9	100.0
	12 weeks			69.3	73.6	92.7	96.3

Example 10

The disinfecting efficacy of the cleaning and disinfecting methods of the present invention was evaluated by determining the rate and extent of kill achieved with the multi-purpose solutions prepared from the combination of the disinfecting composition of Example 2B with either the liquid enzyme composition of Example 2A or Example 4B (except trypsin was substituted for methyl trypsin). The multi-purpose solution was tested against Serratia marcescens, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Candida albicans and Fusarium solani. The test procedures and results are described below.

The following procedure was used:

A 0.1 mL volume of inoculum (10^8 colony forming units/mL) was first added to a 10 mL volume of the disinfecting solution of Example 2B, followed by the addition of either 2 drops (1 drop equals about 30-40 μ L using a "Droptainer") of the liquid enzyme composition of Example 2A or Example 4B (trypsin). A similarly inoculated 10 mL volume of the disinfecting solution of Example 2B was used as a control. The solutions were maintained at room temperature throughout the test. Each microorganism and test solution was tested individually. Sets of four replicate ($n = 8$) samples were tested for each organism.

At selected time intervals of 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 24 and 168 hours, a 1 mL volume of the inoculated test solution containing Serratia marcescens, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Candida albicans and Fusarium solani was removed and appropriate serial dilutions were made in sterile 0.9% sodium chloride solution dilution blanks. Pour-plates were prepared with soybean-casein digest agar containing 0.07% Asolectin and 0.5% Polysorbate 80. At Time 0, a 1.0 mL volume of the saline control was removed and serial dilution pour-plates were prepared using the same recovery medium and dilution blanks. The Time 0 saline control count was used as the initial count. The pour-plates were incubated at 30°-35°C for appropriate incubation periods. The number of surviving organisms at each time interval was then determined. The test results, expressed as log reductions, are presented in Tables 5 (Example 2B - based multipurpose composition) and 6 (Example 4B - based multipurpose solution), below.

Table 5: Disinfecting Efficacy of a Multi-Purpose Solution of the Present Invention

Microorganism	Time (hours)	Log Reduction
<i>C. albicans</i>	1	0.8
	2	0.9
	3	1.0
	4	1.1
	6	2.6
	24	5.6
	168	6.0*
<i>F. solani</i>	1	3.1
	2	3.8
	3	4.3
	4	5.1
	6	5.8*
	24	5.8*
	168	5.8*
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	1	4.8
	2	4.8
	3	5.3
	4	6.1*
	6	6.1*
	24	6.1*
	168	6.1*
<i>S. marcescens</i>	1	2.1
	2	2.6
	3	2.9
	4	3.3
	6	4.5
	24	4.9
	168	6.0*
<i>S. aureus</i>	1	2.7
	2	3.0
	3	3.3
	4	3.4
	6	3.8
	24	6.0*
	168	6.0*

*Indicates that no survivors (less than 10 cfu/mL) were recovered

Table 6: Disinfecting Efficacy of a Cleaning and Disinfecting Solution of the Present Invention

Microorganism	Time (hours)	Log Reduction
<i>C. albicans</i>	1	1.2
	2	1.7
	3	1.9
	4	2.2
	6	3.0
	24	5.9*
	168	5.9*
<i>F. solani</i>	1	3.5
	2	4.2
	3	4.4
	4	4.5
	6	5.3
	24	5.8*
	168	5.8*
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	1	6.0*
	2	6.0*
	3	6.0*
	4	6.0*
	6	6.0*
	24	6.0*
	168	6.0*
<i>S. marcescens</i>	1	3.1
	2	3.7*
	3	4.1
	4	---
	6	4.8
	24	6.1*
	168	6.1*
<i>S. aureus</i>	1	2.9
	2	3.2
	3	3.4
	4	3.6
	6	3.3
	24	3.8
	168	6.1*

*Indicates that no survivors (less than 10 cfu/mL) were recovered

The invention in its broader aspects is not limited to the specific details shown and described above. Departures may be made from such details within the scope of the accompanying claims without departing from the principles of the invention and without
5 sacrificing its advantages.

What is Claimed is:

1. An improved stable liquid enzyme composition for cleaning a contact lens comprising:
5 an enzyme in an amount effective to clean the lens; 40-85% w/v of one or more polyol(s);
an effective amount of a borate/boric acid compound; an effective amount of calcium ion;
and water.
2. A composition according to Claim 1, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group
10 consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin.
3. A composition according to Claim 1, wherein the polyol(s) are selected from the group
consisting of 2-3 carbon polyol(s) and polyethylene glycol.
- 15 4. A composition according to Claim 1, wherein the borate/boric acid compound is sodium
borate in the amount of 0.5-1.5% w/v.
5. A composition according to Claim 1, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group
consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin; the polyol(s) are selected
20 from the group consisting of glycerol, 1,2-propane diol, 1,3-propane diol, ethylene glycol;
the borate/boric acid compound is sodium borate in the amount of 0.5-1.5% w/v; and the
calcium ion in amount of from 1-90 millimolar.
6. A composition according to Claim 1, wherein the concentration of the enzyme is 0.05 to
25 5.0% w/v.
7. A composition according to Claim 1, wherein the composition comprises an enzyme.
50% w/v propylene glycol, 1.5% w/v sodium borate, 0.25% w/v calcium chloride and water.
- 30 8. A composition according to Claim 7, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group
consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin.

9. A composition according to Claim 7, wherein the enzyme is trypsin in the amount of at least 900 PAU/mL.

10. A composition according to Claim 7, wherein the enzyme is methyl trypsin in the amount of at least 900 PAU/mL.

11. A method for cleaning and disinfecting a contact lens comprising:

placing the lens in an aqueous disinfecting solution containing an amount of an antimicrobial agent effective to disinfect the lens;

forming an aqueous disinfectant/enzyme solution by dispersing a small amount of a liquid enzyme cleaning composition in said disinfecting solution, said cleaning composition comprising: an enzyme in an amount effective to clean the lens; 40-85% w/v of one or more polyol(s); an effective amount of a borate/boric acid compound; an effective amount of calcium ion; and water; and

soaking the lens in said aqueous disinfectant/enzyme solution for a period of time sufficient to clean and disinfect the lens.

12. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin.

13. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the polyol(s) are selected from the group consisting of 2-3 carbon polyol(s) and polyethylene glycol.

14. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the liquid enzyme cleaning composition comprises an enzyme, sodium borate in the amount of 0.5-1.5% w/v and calcium ion in the amount of 1-90 millimolar.

15. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin; the polyol(s) are selected from the group consisting of glycerol, 1,2-propane diol, 1,3-propane diol, ethylene glycol;

the borate/boric acid compound is sodium borate in the amount of 0.5-1.5% w/v; and the calcium ion in an amount of 1-90 millimolar.

16. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the concentration of the enzyme is 0.05 to 5.0% w/v.

17. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the liquid enzyme cleaning composition comprises an enzyme, 50% w/v propylene glycol, 1.5% w/v sodium borate, 0.25% w/v calcium chloride and water.

18. A method according to Claim 17, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin.

19. A method according to Claim 17, wherein the enzyme is trypsin in the amount of at least 900 PAU/mL.

20. A method according to Claim 17, wherein the enzyme is methyl trypsin in the amount of at least 900 PAU/mL.

21. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the antimicrobial agent comprises 0.00001% to 0.05% w/v of polyquaternium-1.

22. A method according to Claim 15, wherein the antimicrobial agent comprises 0.00001% to 0.05% w/v of polyquaternium-1.

23. A method according to Claim 17, wherein the antimicrobial agent comprises 0.00001% to 0.05% w/v of polyquaternium-1.

24. A method according to Claim 17, wherein the disinfecting solution comprises:

about 0.001% w/v of polyquaternium-1;

about 0.6% w/v of boric acid;

about 1.2% w/v of sorbitol;
about 0.65% w/v of sodium citrate;
about 0.1% w/v of sodium chloride;
about 0.05% w/v of Poloxamine 1304;
5 about 0.05% w/v of disodium edetate;
about 0.45% w/v of aminemethyl propanol;
about 0.0005% w/v of MAPDA; and water; wherein the composition is adjusted to
pH 7-8 with sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid.

10 25. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the disinfectant/enzyme solution has an
osmolality of from 150 to 350 mOsmoles/kg.

26. A method of cleaning a contact lens which comprises:

15 forming an aqueous enzymatic cleaning solution by dispersing a small amount of a
liquid enzyme composition in an aqueous solvent, said liquid enzyme composition
comprising an enzyme in an amount effective to clean the lens; 40-85% w/v of one or more
polyol(s); an effective amount of a borate/boric acid compound; an effective amount of a
calcium ion; and water; and

20 soaking the lens in the enzymatic cleaning solution for a period of time sufficient to
clean the lens.


27. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group
consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin.

25

28. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the polyol(s) are selected from the group
consisting of 2-3 carbon polyol(s) and polyethylene glycol.

29. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the liquid enzyme cleaning composition
30 comprises an enzyme, sodium borate in the amount of 0.5-1.5% w/v and calcium ion in an
amount of 1-90 millimolar.

30. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin; the polyol(s) are selected from the group consisting of glycerol, 1,2-propane diol, 1,3-propane diol, ethylene glycol; the borate/boric acid compound is sodium borate in the amount of 0.5-1.5% w/v; and the calcium compound is calcium chloride in the amount of 0.25% w/v.
31. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the concentration of the enzyme is 0.05 to 5.0% w/v.
32. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the liquid enzyme cleaning composition comprises an enzyme, 50% propylene glycol w/v, 1.5% w/v sodium borate, 0.25% w/v calcium chloride and water.
33. A method according to Claim 32, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group consisting of pancreatin, subtilisin, trypsin and methyl trypsin.
34. A method according to Claim 32, wherein the enzyme is trypsin in the amount of at least 900 PAU/mL.
35. A method according to Claim 32, wherein the enzyme is methyl trypsin in the amount of at least 900 PAU/mL.

**FIG. 1**

Comparison of the Stability of a Present Invention
Composition (Comp. 4) with Other Compositions at 40° C




FIG. 2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In: national Application No

PCT/US 99/12837

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 C11D3/386 C11D3/20 C11D3/02 A61L12/08

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C11D A61L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 604 190 A (CHOWHAN ET AL) 18 February 1997 (1997-02-18) cited in the application claims	1-9, 11-19, 21-34
Y	column 3, line 60 -column 9, line 20	1, 10, 11, 20, 26, 35
Y	--- US 5 718 895 A (ASGHARIAN ET AL) 17 February 1998 (1998-02-17) cited in the application claims column 7, line 18 -column 22 example 2; table 1 --- -/-	1, 10, 11, 20, 26, 35

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 November 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

09/11/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Serbetsoglou, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 99/12837

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 281 277 A (NAKAGAWA ET AL) 25 January 1994 (1994-01-25) cited in the application claims examples; tables column 5, line 26 - line 63 ---	1-9, 26-34
X	US 5 672 213 A (ASGHARIAN ET AL) 30 September 1997 (1997-09-30) cited in the application claims; examples column 5, line 40 - line 45 ---	1-6, 11-13, 21-28
X	US 5 605 661 A (ASGHARIAN ET AL) 25 February 1997 (1997-02-25) cited in the application claims; examples column 5, line 47 - line 52 ---	1-6, 11-13, 21-28
X	US 5 785 767 A (KIMURA ET AL) 28 July 1998 (1998-07-28) column 4, line 40 -column 5, line 50 claims ---	1-9, 11-19, 26-34
A	US 5 576 278 A (VAN DUZEE ET AL) 19 November 1996 (1996-11-19) cited in the application claims -----	1-6, 11-13, 21-28

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 99/12837

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5604190	A	18-02-1997	AU 681828 B	04-09-1997
			AU 6265596 A	30-12-1996
			BR 9606420 A	30-12-1997
			CA 2189572 A	08-12-1996
			EP 0771347 A	07-05-1997
			FI 970501 A	06-02-1997
			JP 9507929 T	12-08-1997
			NO 970544 A	07-04-1997
			NZ 311347 A	24-06-1997
			WO 9640854 A	19-12-1996
			US 5948738 A	07-09-1999
			US 5723421 A	03-03-1998
			US 5939369 A	17-08-1999
US 5718895	A	17-02-1998	AU 704499 B	22-04-1999
			AU 7259296 A	05-06-1997
			EP 0876461 A	11-11-1998
			WO 9718288 A	22-05-1997
US 5281277	A	25-01-1994	JP 5076587 A	30-03-1993
			JP 7075620 B	16-08-1995
			DE 69221922 D	09-10-1997
			DE 69221922 T	02-01-1998
			EP 0508381 A	14-10-1995
			JP 6281894 A	07-10-1994
US 5672213	A	30-09-1997	US 5919313 A	06-07-1999
US 5605661	A	25-02-1997	NONE	
US 5785767	A	28-07-1998	JP 8224288 A	03-09-1996
			EP 0756874 A	05-02-1997
			WO 9625957 A	29-08-1996
US 5576278	A	19-11-1996	AU 706542 B	17-06-1999
			AU 6265496 A	30-12-1996
			CA 2219279 A	19-12-1996
			EP 0842253 A	20-05-1998
			WO 9640853 A	19-12-1996

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.